

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT				1. CONTRACT ID CODE		PAGE 1 OF 17 PAGES	
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NUMBER 0003		3. EFFECTIVE DATE 08/31/2026		4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQUISITION NUMBER 51290PR260030302		5. PROJECT NUMBER (If applicable)	
6. ISSUED BY Commanding Officer CG CEU OAKLAND (CN) 1301 Clay Street 7th Floor, Suite 700N Oakland, CA 94612-5203		CODE		7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6)		CODE	
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (Number, street, county, State and ZIP Code) All RMACC (D11/D13) Contract Holders				(X)		9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NUMBER	
				(X)		70Z08826R00043489	
				()		9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 07/23/2026	
						10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NUMBER	
CODE		FACILITY CODE				10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS
☒ The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers ☒ is extended. ☐ is not extended.
 Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods:
 (a) By completing items 8 and 15, and returning 1 copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted;
 or (c) By separate letter or electronic communication which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE
 RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If
 by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by letter or electronic communication, provided each letter or electronic
 communication makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)

**13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS.
IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NUMBER AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.**

CHECK ONE	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NUMBER IN ITEM 10A.
()	
()	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation data, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).
()	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:
()	D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor ☐ is not ☒ is required to sign this document and return 1 copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)
 PROJECT TITLE: Repair Floating Dock #3 At U.S Coast Guard Station Cape Disappointment Ilwaco, Washington.

 1. PURPOSE: The purpose of Amendment 0003 to Solicitation 70Z08826R00043489 is to (1) Issue Government responses to contractor inquiries (RFIs), (2) **Extend the due date for Proposals** from Thursday, September 03, 2026 to **COB Thursday 17 September, 2026.**

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print) Guiljohn Alcantara	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	16C. DATE SIGNED
(Signature of person authorized to sign)		(Signature of Contracting Officer)	

PROPOSAL DUE DATE EXTENSION

1. The hour and date specified for the receipt of proposals is hereby extended from Thursday September 03, 2026, to **Thursday, September 17, 2026, by Close of Business (COB).**
 2. Block 11 of the SF-30 is marked to reflect that the receipt of offers is extended.
 3. Proposals must be submitted to the designated submission office in accordance with the instructions provided in the original solicitation.
-

SUMMARY OF REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION (RFI) BY CATEGORY

To assist offerors in navigating the modifications, the technical and administrative inquiries addressed in this amendment are broadly categorized below:

- **Administrative & Period of Performance (POP):** Clarifications on the 420-calendar-day POP, funding availability, and site management roles.
 - **Concrete Floating Dock Specifications:** Criteria for concrete mix design, precast float fabrication environments, structural reinforcement options (including stainless, epoxy, and fiber-reinforced polymers), and cleat materials.
 - **Electrical & Cathodic Protection:** Specifications for electrical raceways, flexible connections crossing joints, grounding systems, and qualifications for cathodic protection technical experts.
 - **Environmental & Permits:** Marine mammal monitoring requirements, vessel speed limits, and permit scope boundaries (specifically clarifying that permits do not extend to the Port of Ilwaco).
 - **Demolition & Site Management:** Rules regarding onsite roll-off dumpsters and FAA obstruction lighting.
-

CONTRACTOR QUESTIONS AND GOVERNMENT RESPONSES

The following table contains the complete list of questions submitted by prospective offerors and the corresponding official Government responses:

Question #	Contractor Inquiry	Government Response
------------	--------------------	---------------------

1	Task Order RFP Item 2.b (Page 2 of 27) states the Period of Performance for Base work is projected at 420 calendar days from date of award. However, on Page 23 under FAR 52.211-10 it indicates 180 calendar days after award, and contract closeout complete not later than 30 calendar days after completion of the work. Please clarify the Period of Performance.	The paragraph under FAR 52.211-10 of page 23 of the RFP is changed to read: “The Contractor shall notify the Contracting Officer in writing five (5) business days in advance of the date they intend to commence work, and five (5) business days prior to the date that work will be ready for final inspection. The Period of Performance (POP) for Base work is projected at 420 Calendar days from commencement work date.”
2	Task Order RFP Item 6.g (Page 4 of 27) states that funds are presently available for this contract. However, on Page 24 under FAR 52.232-18 it states that funds are not presently available for this contract. Please clarify.	The paragraph under FAR 52.232-18 <i>AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS (APR 1984)</i> is changed to read: “Funds are presently available.”
3	SECTION 35 51 13 – 2.01 – For a float manufacturer to achieve proper consolidation of concrete and to achieve proper buoyancy calculations,	Concrete mix shall be air-entrained as specified in 35 51 13.00 20 – CONCRETE FLOATING DOCK SYSTEM, 2.01

	will a manufacturer/contractor furnished SCC (self-compacting concrete) mix design, without any air entrainment, and specifically placed in a location where there is no potential for freeze/thaw exposure be accepted?	CONTRACTOR-FURNISHED MIX DESIGN.
4	SECTION 35 51 13 – 1.07, A, 7 – Are defined wave heights significant or absolute?	Wave load shall be calculated as specified in 35 51 13.00 20 – CONCRETE FLOATING DOCK SYSTEM, 1.07 PRECAST CONCRETE FLOAT DESIGN, A. Dock Loading, 7) Wave load.
5	SECTION 35 51 13 – 2.02, C – For a float manufacturer to achieve proper consolidation of concrete and to achieve proper buoyancy calculations, will the acceptance of lightweight concrete and it corresponding conforming ASTM C330 aggregate and supporting documentation for a 25-year design service life be accepted?	ASTM C330 is acceptable. The ASTM C33 specified in 35 51 13.00 20 – CONCRETE FLOATING DOCK SYSTEM, 2.02 PRECAST FLOAT MATERIALS, C, 1) is a typographical error. ASTM C330 is indicated in paragraph 1.02 APPLICABLE PUBLICATIONS:, D. ASTM INTERNATIONAL (ASTM).
6	SECTION 35 51 13 – 1.06 – Implies that a bolted	As specified in 35 51 13.00 20 – CONCRETE

	system is an acceptable design while 2.02 F, 3 – only references a Match-Cast Post-Tensioning requirement and no bolting requirements for structural integrity. Is the intention of the government to accept only a Match-Cast Post-Tensioned system?	FLOATING DOCK SYSTEM, 1.06 DESIGN CRITERIA, bolted connection(s) are allowed between precast concrete modules. This in recognition that a single unit of the overall length and geometry specified may not be practical considering the different designs available from manufacturers, shipping and installation.
7	SECTION 35 51 13 – 2.03 – Float manufacturer requests optional exterior covered, partial enclosed, casting areas be accepted as the individual module sizes and the handling of them are restricted within the confines of a fixed structure.	Changes to the specification will not be considered at this time. In accordance with section 35 51 13.00 20 – 1.07 PRECAST CONCRETE FLOAT DESIGN, C. PCI Quality Certifications, (1) Product Quality Control and 2.03 FABRICATION. ... Floats must be cast in an all weather environment that includes an enclosed casting building with heated casting beds for use when ambient temperatures are below 60 degrees.
8	Is the installation of the floating dock subject to	Project scope is not subject to IWWW restrictions.

	the in-water work timing restrictions?	
9	Will the stainless steel gutter shown on drawing S-502 detail F4 be provided by the dock manufacturer?	There is no “gutter” indicated in the drawings. Drawing S-502, detail F4 is a cross section of the dock at the location of an electrical pull box and depicts the pull box and electrical chase. Embedded items shall be as specified in section 35 51 13.00 20 – CONCRETE FLOATING DOCK SYSTEM, 1.07 PRECAST CONCRETE FLOAT DESIGN, B. Performance, (5); and as schematically indicated in drawing E-101 and E-503.
10	How is the expansion or flexibility of this gutter be handled between dock sections?	See response to question #9.
11	Will the dock manufacturer be installing raceways or chases from the stainless gutter to the power pedestals?	See response to question #9.
12	Will the dock manufacturer be installing mounting hardware in the dock	See response to question #9.

	surface for power pedestals?	
13	Is the gangway and other metal structures supposed to be bonded to a grounding system to earth?	All electrical installation, including grounding, shall be in accordance with section 26 27 29 – MARINA ELECTRICAL WORK, 3.01 INSTALLATION NFPA 70.
14	Will the GC be installing false work under the existing dock for the installation of conduits?	No; work shall as specified in section 01 10 00 - GENERAL PARAGRAPHS, 1.01 BASE WORK - SCOPE OF WORK.
15	Are the fuel pump controls provided by the pump supplier?	The fuel pump controls need to be integral/provided by the equipment manufacturer/supplier.
16	Are the hose reel controls provided by the supplier?	The hose reel controls need to be integral/provided by the equipment manufacturer/supplier.
17	Specification Section 01 35 29, Part 1.14 provides for a Contractor's Site Safety & Health Officer (SSHO). Will the USCG clarify whether the Contractor's Site Superintendent, if duly qualified, may also serve	Yes, he or she must be on site at all times during work performance.

	in the role of SSHO, or will a separate individual be required?	
18	Specification Section 26 42 13 – GACP, Part 2.02-A & 3.03 requires non-destructive testing of the cathodic anodes in the presence of the USCG COR, the “Technical Expert”, and the Project Manager. Will the USCG clarify if a certified Cathodic Protection Technician 2 will be acceptable for the role of “Technical Expert” for this requirement? Will the USCG further clarify if the Contractor’s Site Superintendent may substitute for the Contractor’s Project Manager for this testing requirement?	The “Technical Expert” is referencing the USCG’s Technical Expert. For this testing requirement only, the Contractor’s Site Superintendent may serve as the Contractor’s authorized representative in lieu of the Contractor’s Project Manager.
19	Specification Section 35 51 13, Part 1.06 – This implies five (5) sided concrete structure may be acceptable. For proper protection of closed-cell polystyrene and its exposure to environmental conditions, will the USCG want to consider the	Provide six-sided concrete floats with the closed cell polystyrene core fully encased in concrete, consistent with Detail F4. Section 35 51 13, Paragraph 1.06 identifies minimum structural components and does not permit exposed polystyrene.

	requirement of a six (6) sided concrete structure which fully encases and protects any exposure of the closed-cell polystyrene?	
20	Specification Section 35 51 14, Part 1.07-C – Will an ISO 9001 certified facility with 10+ years of production experience, its certified concrete testing practices and records, be accepted as an approved production facility? The USCG has set precedent with float manufacturer’s previously accepted, produced, supplied, approved, and in-service concrete float systems under its ISO 9001 quality control programs and systems. (Refer to Project No. 1461172, Cape Disappointment; No. 22869808, Siuslaw and Yaquina, No. 1797102, Apra Harbor).	No, unless the ISO 9001 certification quality control program includes PCI MNL-116 certification requirements.
21	Specification Section 35 51 13, Part 2.02-F(1) – Will Stainless Steel, Epoxy Coated, or Fiber Reinforced Polymer (FRP) rebar or any combination thereof with	Yes. Stainless steel, epoxy coated steel, or fiber-reinforced polymer (FRP) reinforcement will be considered acceptable as a replacement for the specified ASTM A767

	or without the specified A767 galvanized coated rebar be accepted as replacement for internal concrete structural reinforcement upon contractor engineer's calculations and design drawings?	galvanized reinforcement, subject to approval of the Contractor's signed and sealed calculations and design drawings. Mixing stainless steel, epoxy-coated, FRP, or ASTM A767 galvanized reinforcement is not permitted. The design shall specifically demonstrate that the proposed reinforcement system provides the required strength and 25-year design life in the specified marine exposure.
22	Specification Section 35 51 13, Part 2.02-F(2) – Will Welded Wire Fabric grid greater than 2" x 2" be acceptable when submitted for design review and supported by contractor engineer's calculations and design drawings?	Yes. Welded wire fabric with grid spacing greater than 2 inches by 2 inches will be considered acceptable, subject to approval of the Contractor's signed and sealed calculations and design drawings. The design shall specifically demonstrate that the proposed reinforcement provides the required strength, crack control, durability, and 25-year design life in the marine environment.

23	Specification Section 35 51 13, Part 2.02-G(6) – Will aluminum cleats with supporting load criteria be accepted as equal?	Aluminum cleats will not be accepted.
24	Specification Section 35 51 13, Part 2.04 – Will treated No. 1 Douglas Fir nominal sized or treated Glu-lam beams be accepted as waler material provided they are non-structural in their application?	Treated glulam will not be accepted. Treated dense No. 1 Douglas Fir may be used for nonstructural members only.
25	Will the USCG clarify if the marina basin at the Port of Ilwaco be available and included in the USCG's permit from the USACE for the delivery, placing into the water, and assembly of the concrete float system within the marina's operating area? Are the USCG or its consultants aware of any restrictions from conducting operations at the Port's facility with the understanding that no disturbance, alteration, or impact will occur to the seabed floor within the marina basin from construction activities?	USCG does not have arrangements or agreements with, nor awareness of Contractor use of Port of Ilwaco, including any required permitting for proposed actions. USCG permits do not cover work outside of the project location at Station Cape Disappointment.

26	Specification Section 35 51 13, Part 2.07 and Sheet S101 of the Dock 3 Plans refer to the pile for Dock 3 being previously installed by others. How is the contractor to be ensured that the 9 pile as shown are sufficient in supporting a solid concrete dock structure in a 4-foot wave environment with 3 second periods? Will the USCG please provide data (engineering design and calculations) supporting the pile layout and the loads it will be required to support?	The contractor is not responsible for the design of the guide piles. A guide pile as-built survey will be provided after award. The dock system manufacturer is responsible for the design of the dock system based on the pile layout as specified. Pile guide load is specified in 35 51 13.00 20, paragraph 2.05. The dock system design data will include the resultant forces on the piles from the dock system. The government will verify the adequacy of the guide piles based on the dock system design data.
27	Page S-102 of Dock 3 Plans – Will the USCG allow the South Finger concrete float module to be modified so that the guide pile are aligned to the middle of the finger float rather than the outward edge? Float fabrication and design generally places the tensioning ducts along the outside exterior edges for best performance and results. Pile locations along the floating dock	Per SILC-CSTO-36-11 31 21-03: The minimum clear width on floating docks shall be 6 feet. Clear width is defined as the space between obstructions on the floating dock such as cleats, gangway, piles, etc. Placing the piles in the middle of the float will result in less than 6 feet clear width.

	edge impede upon a contiguous full-length post-tensioning model.	
28	Will the USCG clarify if any DEQ or EPA permits are required for the work to be completed in water or above?	USCG has obtained all the necessary permits for the project.
29	Will the USCG clarify if any marine mammal monitoring will be required for this project? If so, will the USCG provide the specifications for marine mammal observations?	No specific marine mammal monitoring is required for this project; however, contractor is required to reduce vessel speeds in areas that are known to contain species protected by the Marine Mammal Protection Act (MMPA). All vessel operators watch for and avoid collision with species protected under the ESA and MMPA.
30	Sheet G-002, Demo note 1 states that all demo materials that are not going to be reused are to be removed from gov. property daily. Will the USCG clarify if an onsite roll-off dumpster will be permitted and whether this will satisfy the requirement for this demo note?	Onsite roll-off dumpster will be permitted for non-organic, non-hazardous materials.

31	Specification Section 02 41 00, Part 108-A(2) requires the Contractor to install a minimum of (2) FAA type L-810 red lights on temporary structures over 100'. If the Contractor does not erect or use any cranes or structures over 100', will this requirement not be enforced?	FAA Type L-810 obstruction lights are not required under Section 02 41 00 if no temporary structures or equipment, including cranes at their maximum operating height, exceed 100 feet above ground level. The Contractor remains responsible for compliance with applicable FAA notification requirements and any project-specific FAA determination.
32	Specification Section 01 10 00- 1.03 B States that in water work will only be allowed to be completed between November 1st and February 28th. If the award is not made in enough time or the procurement/fabrication cannot be completed before this constraint, will the USCG be able to modify this requirement?	The in-water work requirement applied only to pile-setting. Floats may be installed outside the in-water work window.
33	Sheet S-502, Detail F4 depicts a SS gutter for electrical. Will the USCG provide a detail depicting how the flexion / expansion should be	The floating dock manufacturer shall design and provide the dock section connections, including provisions for flexure, rotation, and

	handled between dock sections for this gutter?	expansion. All electrical raceways crossing dock section joints shall have flexible connections suitable for the location and coordinated with the dock manufacturer's connection details.
34	Will the USCG clarify if the gangway /other metal structures will require bonding to a grounding system to the earth? If so, will the USCG provide details and specifications for any grounding system(s) required?	See response to question #13.
35	SECTION 35 51 13 – 2.01 – For a float manufacturer to achieve proper consolidation of concrete and to achieve proper buoyancy calculations, will a manufacturer/contractor furnished SCC (self-compacting concrete) mix design, without any air entrainment, and specifically placed in a location where there is no potential for freeze/thaw exposure be accepted?	See response to question #3.
36	SECTION 35 51 13 – 1.07, A, 7 – Are defined wave	See response to question #4.

	heights significant or absolute?	
37	SECTION 35 51 13 – 2.02, C – For a float manufacturer to achieve proper consolidation of concrete and to achieve proper buoyancy calculations, will the acceptance of lightweight concrete and its corresponding conforming ASTM C330 aggregate and supporting documentation for a 25-year design service life be accepted?	See response to question #5.
38	SECTION 35 51 13 – 1.06 – Implies that a bolted system is an acceptable design while 2.02 F, 3 – only references a Match-Cast Post-Tensioning requirement and no bolting requirements for structural integrity. Is the intention of the government to accept only a Match-Cast Post-Tensioned system?	See response to question #6.
39	SECTION 35 51 13 – 2.03 – Float manufacturer requests optional exterior covered, partial enclosed, casting areas be accepted	See response to question #7.

	as the individual module sizes and the handling of them are restricted within the confines of a fixed structure.	
--	--	--

INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS:

Offerors are required to submit a fully executed copy of this SF30 (Amendment 0003) along with their revised proposal packages. Failure to acknowledge this amendment may result in your proposal being deemed non-responsive and excluded from evaluation.

--- END OF AMENDMENT 0003 ---