

North Unit Main Canal - Bear Drive Bridge Replacement

Quest Number: 10097351


Closing Date: Wed, 04/15/2026 02:00 PM PDT

Posting Type: Construction Project

Owner: Jefferson County, Oregon

Solicitor: Anderson Perry & Associates - La Grande, OR

Ask a Question

 Type your question...

Submit Your Question

Once you click Submit, your Question will be sent to the Solicitor for review and response. You cannot edit or delete your Question once submitted.

My Unanswered Questions (0 Questions)

No Unanswered Questions Available

My Directly Answered Questions (0 Questions)

No Directly Answered Questions Available

All Available Information (11 Questions)

ODOT specification section 00582.39(a) requires bearing pads over 0.5" thick to be steel reinforced. Should we assume the standard layout of 1.15" total thickness with 2 shims, or 1" plain, unreinforced? ▲


Date - 03/31/2026 02:21 PM

It is the standard layout of 1.15" total thickness with two shims. (Each shim is 0.075" thick and is 1/4" from the top/bottom of the pad, with 1/2-inch layer between shims.)

Are as-builts available for the existing bridge? ▲

Date - 04/01/2026 09:45 AM

A drawing of the existing bridge is attached. The drawing is not as-built, and we do not guarantee its accuracy or that updates/modifications have not been made to the bridge since development of the drawing.

Additional Information:  Download

Is there asbestos on the bridge and pipe to be removed? Has an asbestos survey been done on the project? ▲

Date - 04/01/2026 09:46 AM

An asbestos survey was not done, and asbestos is not believed to be present. The pipe is asphalt wrapped steel, and the bridge is treated timber construction.

Can you confirm we can have debris during demolition fall onto the canal as long as we have protection on the canal surface? ▲

Date - 04/07/2026 06:49 PM

The bridge construction takes place when the canal is turned off for the winter. Any debris that falls into the canal, including areas where residual water is present, will need to be removed.

The Bid Schedule calls for 4" DI pipe for the temporary water. Plan Sheet R-101 Note 9 calls for PVC. Which will be required, PVC or ductile iron pipe? ▲

Date - 04/08/2026 02:11 PM

Provide 4-inch ductile iron pipe as specified in the Bid Schedule and Section 01140.90.

Regarding the 6" irrigation pipe on the west side of the bridge. Will asphalt coating be acceptable for this ductile iron pipe? ▲

Date - 04/08/2026 02:13 PM

Standard ductile iron coating is acceptable.

There is Foamglass insulation called out on Plan Sheet U-501 but I didn't see a thickness. What thickness will be required for the insulation and do you want jacketing on it? ▲

Date - 04/08/2026 02:16 PM

The 50 in front of "Foamglass" is referencing 50 mm (2 inches). The insulation is to be jacketed.

US Pipe does not recommend using Field-lok gaskets or MJ pipe for bridge crossings. Their recommendation is HDSS, which is the updated version of TR Flex. ▲

Date - 04/08/2026 02:18 PM

Bid the pipe as shown in the Contract Documents. Revisions to piping systems can be addressed after award of the Contract.

ODOT ductile water pipe is called out as Class 50 in their standards. Will Class 50 (or Class 51 for 4") be acceptable? ▲

Date - 04/08/2026 02:21 PM

Class 51 is acceptable for 4-inch ductile iron pipe. Class 50 shall be used for all other ductile iron pipe.

Section 00589, subsection 00589.80, East Side of Bridge has Wing Wall Mounted Brackets, 2 each listed. I didn't see those can you steer toward the plan sheet or detail? ▲

Date - 04/08/2026 02:27 PM

The pipe passes through the wingwall so wingwall brackets are not required. "Wingwall-mounted brackets, 2 each" has been removed from subsection 00589.80, see Addendum No. 1.

Are there any requirements for domestic materials on this project? ▲

Date - 04/08/2026 04:51 PM

Because the federal funds were exchanged for state funds, there are no BABAA requirements on this project. Bidders shall reference Section 00160.00 regarding materials.